```
1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
                      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                            DISTRICT OF OREGON
 8
 9
                            PORTLAND DIVISION
10
   TOM GORMAN, an individual,
11
             Plaintiff,
                                           No. 3:11-cv-00413-HU
12
13
                                           OPINION AND ORDER
   ROCKY POINTE MARINA PORTLAND,
14 LLC, an Oregon limited liability
   company, and A&D YACHT SERVICE,
  LLC, an Oregon limited liability
   company,
16
             Defendant.
17
18
  ROCKY POINTE MARINA PORTLAND,
  LLC, an Oregon limited liability
  company,
19
20
             Third-Party Plaintiff,
21
        v.
  ANDREW BROWN, an individual,
23
             Third-Party Defendant.
24
   Stephen C. Thompson
  KIRKLIN THOMPSON & POPE LLP
   522 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 1100
26
  Portland, OR 97204
        Of Attorney for Plaintiff
27
   Thomas E. McDermott
28
  James P. McCurdy
  Page 1 - OPINION AND ORDER
```

Case 3:11-cv-00413-HU Document 80 Filed 08/10/12 Page 1 of 3

```
1 LINDSAY HART NEIL & WEIGLER, LLP
  1300 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 3400
 Portland, OR 97201-5640
       Of Attorneys for Defendant Rocky Pointe Marina
3
       Portland, LLC
 Thomas G. Waller
 BAUER MOYNIHAN & JOHNSON LLP
5
 2101 Fourth Avenue, Suite 2400
  Seattle, WA 98121-2320
6
       Of Attorney for Defendant A&D Yacht Service, LLC &
       Third-Party Defendant Andrew Brown
7
 HUBEL, Magistrate Judge:
9
                          OPINION AND ORDER
```

10 This admiralty case involves a dispute between a shipowner, 11 Tom Gorman ("Gorman"), and two shipyards, Rocky Pointe Marina Portland, LLC ("RPM") and A&D Yacht Service, LLC ("A&D"), over 13 repairs to a vessel. The parties disagree on a significant legal 14 issue: namely, the nature of the legal relationship between RPM, 15 A&D, and third-party defendant Andrew Brown ("Brown"). 16 end, Gorman sent RPM's counsel a Notice of Deposition and Subpoena 17 to Testify for Paul Wathen, CPA. The subpoena required testimony 18 on July 25, 2012 and production of RPM's tax records and the personal income tax records of its owners, Stan and Jen Tonneson. 20 RPM now moves the Court for an order quashing Gorman's Notice 21 of Deposition and Subpoena to Testify. Curiously, RPM's counsel 22 argues that "RPM's tax records, as well as the individual tax 23 records of RPM's owners, Stan and Jen Tonneson, are neither 24 relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of relevant 25 evidence," (Mem. Supp. Mot. Quash at 2), while simultaneously 26 moving to compel Brown's and A&D's tax records which RPM's counsel 27 describes as "essential and admissible evidence" that is "both 28 relevant and necessary" on the very same issues. (Mem. Supp. Mot.

Page 2 - OPINION AND ORDER

```
1 Compel at 4.) As the old saying goes, however, what's good for the
 2 goose, is good for the gander. Accordingly, for the reasons stated
 3 on the record at the time of oral argument, all of which are
  incorporated herein by reference, RPM's motion (Docket No. 68) to
  quash is DENIED. In particular, RPM must produce: "All income tax
  returns for Stan Tonneson/Jen Tonneson/Rocky Pointe Marina
  Portland, LLC, including but not limited to all supporting or
  affiliated schedules, in particular Schedule B, Schedule D, and
 9 Schedule E, all supporting documents regarding any income source,
10 including but not limited to K-1s, 1099s, 1099-DIV, 1099-MISC, W-
11 2s, and all profit and loss statements and distributions for RPM
12 Boatyard for the years 2006-2010." (McCurdy Decl. (Docket No. 70)
13 Ex. 2 at 4.) This information shall be provided to Gorman subject
  to an agreed upon protective order.
14
15
       IT IS SO ORDERED.
16
       Dated this 10th day of August, 2012.
17
                                     /s/ Dennis J. Hubel
18
                                         DENNIS J. HUBEL
```

United States Magistrate Judge

19

20

2122

23

2425

26

27

28

Page 3 - OPINION AND ORDER